

Gauge Five Brane Moduli in Four Dimensional Heterotic M-theory.

JAMES GRAY

*University of Durham, Science Laboratories, South Road,
Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom.*

E-mail: j.a.gray2@dur.ac.uk

We present the first example of a Kähler potential for heterotic M-theory which includes gauge bundle moduli. These moduli describe the background gauge field configurations living on the orbifold fixed planes. We concentrate on the bundle moduli describing the size and $SU(2)$ orientation of a gauge five brane - a soliton which is primarily composed of these gauge fields. Our results are valid when the width of this object is small compared to the overall size of the Calabi-Yau threefold. We find that, in general, it is not consistent to truncate away these moduli in a simple manner.

1 Introduction

Heterotic M-theory^{1,2} is one of the most promising corners of the M-theory moduli space studied to date from a phenomenological point of view. The theory describes eleven dimensional M-theory compactified on an orbifold $\frac{S^1}{\mathbb{Z}_2} \times X$ where X is a manifold of $SU(3)$ holonomy. This compactification combines the phenomenological successes of the weakly coupled $E8 \times E8$ heterotic string, compactified on a Calabi-Yau threefold, with a natural mechanism for obtaining the correct strength of both the gravitational and gauge interactions simultaneously.

The vacua of heterotic M-theory are non-trivial background solutions which include two key features^{1,2,3}. These are a warping of the bulk fields in the orbifold direction and the presence of non trivial gauge field expectation values on the two orbifold fixed planes.

Due to the phenomenological success of the theory many authors have naturally been lead to study the moduli evolution of its vacua. However no one has been able to include the moduli describing the background section of the gauge bundle in these dynamical analyses due to the simple fact that the kinetic terms for these fields have not been known (although some superpotentials have been computed for such moduli^{4,5}).

The reason for the lack of a four dimensional theory describing these moduli in the literature is easy to understand. The most direct way in which to calculate the required four dimensional kinetic terms would be to perform a standard dimensional reduction about a solution describing the vacuum, including the gauge field expectation values. However, such a vacuum solution

is simply not known explicitly.

The aim of this talk is to present the first example of a four dimensional theory which includes the kinetic terms for some of these elusive bundle moduli. These results were derived in a recent paper⁶. We shall focus on the moduli describing a particular type of gauge field configuration known as a gauge five brane. This is a six dimensional solitonic object which is essentially a Yang Mills instanton - a localised lump of gauge field - dressed up with various other fields.

The plan of this talk is as follows. In section 2 we briefly outline the method which has been used to obtain the four dimensional effective action including the gauge five brane moduli. The action itself is presented, and its physical consequences discussed, in section 3. Finally some promising directions for future research are described in section 4.

2 Obtaining the effective action

In deriving the effective theory describing the gauge five brane moduli in four dimensions we have to get around the fact that a full background solution describing the embedding of the soliton within the vacuum is not known. The way we have circumvented this deficiency is based upon the fact that the soliton is a localised object in its transverse space⁶.

In any given vacuum configuration the gauge five brane we are interested in must be oriented in a specific manner in order to preserve $N = 1$ supersymmetry in four dimensions¹. Four of its six worldvolume directions must span the external Minkowski space. The remaining two world volume directions wrap a holomorphic curve within the Calabi-Yau leaving five directions within the compactification manifold, including the orbifold direction, to make up the space transverse to the object. The localised nature of the gauge five brane means that, in some sense, it does not probe the compactification manifold in the directions transverse to it's world volume at distances which are much greater than the width of its core. As we shall see this reduces the requirement in our calculation for a solution describing the entire vacuum to a need to find a solution which is valid only near to the branes world volume. This is a much simpler task as we will now describe.

2.1 *Wrapping up the gauge five brane*

The calculation is most easily preformed within the ten dimensional effective description of heterotic M-theory in which the orbifold direction has been integrated out⁷. Using this effective theory as our starting point also means that our results are equally valid for the weakly coupled heterotic string theories

when we make appropriate modifications to the numerical values of certain parameters and a suitable modification of the gauge group in the $SO(32)$ case. In this talk we shall continue to describe everything in the strongly coupled language however for simplicity and unity of presentation.

The solution describing a gauge five brane in ten dimensional asymptotically flat space is well known⁸. We need to wrap this uncompactified configuration up in a suitable manner on a 2 cycle in a compact manifold. This can be achieved by wrapping up the brane on a Calabi-Yau threefold constructed as the resolution of an appropriate orbifold. In our recent paper⁶ a six dimensional orbifold was used with the property that (in addition to having $SU(3)$ holonomy) near the Riemann surface, $\mathcal{C}_2$, on which we are going to wrap our gauge five brane the compact space may be written as $X = \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_4$, where $\mathcal{C}_4$ is a complex four dimensional space.

An example of such an orbifold is a $Z_8 - I$ Coxeter orbifold with a $SO(5) \times SO(9)$ lattice. The identifications required to turn flat space into this orbifold which are associated with the two cycle $\mathcal{C}_2$ are consistent with the gauge five brane solutions symmetries and so may be performed trivially. The identifications made in the space transverse to the brane are not consistent with the symmetries of the asymptotically flat solution. However, this merely means that we must modify the configuration at large distances from the core of the object and we shall now see that we do not require a solution which is valid in these regions.

Given this solution (valid near to the gauge five branes world volume) for the background configuration one may then proceed to dimensionally reduce in the usual way. We promote integration constants to be four dimensional fields and integrate over the compactified extra dimensions in order to obtain a four dimensional effective action. If it is indeed the case that we only require a background solution for the gauge five brane which is valid near to the objects world volume then we should find that the result we get is independent of the gauge five branes contributions to the vacuum far out in the transverse space. We find that this is indeed the case, thus justifying our approach. The level of approximation involved is determined by a well controlled expansion which is described in the next section.

In fact a number of subtleties arise in performing the dimensional reduction which we have not mentioned here. These subtleties are described in detail in our recent paper⁶.

3 The four dimensional action, Kähler potential and complex structure

The four dimensional effective action we obtain from the procedure outlined in the previous section is as follows⁶.

$$\begin{aligned}
S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} & \left(-R + \frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}((\partial\beta_1)^2 + (\partial\beta_2)^2 + (\partial\beta_3)^2) \right. \\
& + e^{-2\varphi}(\partial\sigma)^2 + \frac{2}{9}(e^{-2\beta_1}(\partial\chi_1)^2 + e^{-2\beta_2}(\partial\chi_2)^2 + e^{-2\beta_3}(\partial\chi_3)^2) \\
& + q_{G5} \left[8e^{-\beta_1-\beta_2}((\partial\rho)^2 + \rho^2(\partial\theta)^2) + \rho^2 e^{-\beta_1-\beta_2}((\partial\beta_1)^2 + (\partial\beta_2)^2) \right. \\
& - 4\rho e^{-\beta_1-\beta_2} \partial\rho (\partial\beta_1 + \partial\beta_2) - \frac{2}{9}\rho^2 e^{-\beta_1-\beta_2} (e^{-2\beta_1}(\partial\chi_1)^2 + e^{-2\beta_2}(\partial\chi_2)^2) \\
& + \frac{4}{9}\rho^2 e^{-2\beta_1-2\beta_2} \partial\chi_1 \partial\chi_2 \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{8}{3}\rho^2 e^{-\beta_1-\beta_2} (\theta^2 \partial\theta^1 - \theta^1 \partial\theta^2 + \theta^3 \partial\theta^4 - \theta^4 \partial\theta^3) (e^{-\beta_1} \partial\chi_1 + e^{-\beta_2} \partial\chi_2) \right] \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

This expression employs the following definition.

$$(\partial\theta)^2 = \sum_{\gamma=1}^4 (\partial\theta^\gamma)^2 \tag{2}$$

In this result we have the following fields in addition to the four dimensional metric. Firstly we have the familiar moduli fields of four dimensional heterotic M-theory². The dilaton field is denoted by φ and its associated axion is σ . The metric moduli describing our Calabi-Yau manifold are β_i where $i = 1, 2, 3$. The size of the 2 cycle which the gauge five brane wraps is determined by β_3 whereas β_1 and β_2 are associated with the transverse space. The axions associated with these fields are χ_i . The moduli describing the blow ups of the orbifold fixed loci have been truncated.

In addition to these geometrical moduli we have the bundle moduli describing the gauge five brane. These are ρ , which describes the solitons width, and θ^γ where $\gamma = 1..4$ and $\sum_{\gamma=1}^4 (\theta^\gamma)^2 = 1$, which describe the orientation of the objects gauge field core within $SU(2)$. We have also introduced $q_{G5} = \alpha'(2\pi)^2/V_t$ and V_t is the coordinate volume of the transverse space to the five brane.

The approximations we have made in obtaining this result are as follows. We have made all of the standard approximations employed in obtaining four

dimensional actions in this context. These are the slowly changing moduli approximation, working to first order in α' (or more precisely to first order in ϵ_w in the language used in the literature⁹) and ignoring towers of massive states (which corresponds to 'the other' ϵ expansion⁹). The action also does not include contributions from non-perturbative corrections. The new approximation that we have made here is that $\rho \ll V_t^{\frac{1}{6}}$ (there could be corrections to our action which are suppressed by powers of $\rho^2/V_t^{\frac{1}{3}}$). In addition, in order for our supergravity description to be valid we require $\rho^2 \gg \alpha'$. In other words the gauge five brane has to be wide enough to be describable by supergravity but narrow enough to be viewed as a localised object. This leaves us with a wide range of five brane widths for which our results are valid.

Since the four dimensional action has been constructed to be $N = 1$ supersymmetric it can be written in terms of a Kähler potential and an associated complex structure.

$$K = -\ln(S + \bar{S}) - \ln(T_1 + \bar{T}_1) - \ln(T_2 + \bar{T}_2) - \ln(T_3 + \bar{T}_3) + \frac{16\alpha' (|C_1|^2 + |C_2|^2)}{\sqrt{(T_1 + \bar{T}_1)(T_2 + \bar{T}_2)}} \quad (3)$$

$$C_1 = e^{-\frac{\beta_1}{4} - \frac{\beta_2}{4}} (Y_1 + iY_2) \quad (4)$$

$$C_2 = e^{-\frac{\beta_1}{4} - \frac{\beta_2}{4}} (Y_3 + iY_4) \quad (5)$$

$$T_1 = e^{\beta_1} + \frac{2}{3}i\chi_1 + 4\alpha' e^{\frac{\beta_1 - \beta_2}{2}} (|C_1|^2 + |C_2|^2) \quad (6)$$

$$T_2 = e^{\beta_2} + \frac{2}{3}i\chi_2 + 4\alpha' e^{\frac{\beta_2 - \beta_1}{2}} (|C_1|^2 + |C_2|^2) \quad (7)$$

$$T_3 = e^{\beta_3} + \frac{2}{3}i\chi_3 \quad (8)$$

$$S = e^\varphi + \sqrt{2}i\sigma \quad (9)$$

Here we have defined the fields Y_γ as $Y_\gamma = \rho\theta^\gamma$. We see that we have the usual Kähler potential of heterotic M-theory with an additional term, the final one, which is due to the presence of the gauge five brane. Similarly the definitions of the T superfields in terms of component fields are just the usual ones with a couple of modifications at $O(\alpha')$ due to the presence of the gauge five-brane.

We can make a number of comments about the physics that follows from these results purely from an examination of the component action and Kähler structure.

First of all it is clearly not consistent, in the case of the compactifications considered here, to take the universal case for the metric moduli in the presence of a generic changing instanton configuration. In other words, due to the non trivial factors of e^{β_1} and e^{β_2} in the gauge five brane moduli kinetic terms, for example, it is inconsistent to set $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3$ (however as we shall see shortly we can set $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ if we make some compatible truncations of the other fields).

Secondly it can be seen from the last five terms in equation (1) that it is not consistent to truncate off the gauge five brane moduli by setting them to be non-zero constants. In fact it is not possible to truncate them away by setting all the Y 's to zero either, even though they appear bilinearly in the above expressions. This is because setting all of the Y 's to zero in this manner corresponds to setting ρ to zero and as mentioned above our effective description is not valid in this region of moduli space.

More complicated forms of truncation are possible in certain special cases. The simplest consistent truncation we may obtain of equation (1) which includes the gauge five brane's size modulus, the field we are perhaps most interested in, is given below.

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (-R + (\partial\beta)^2 + 8q_5 e^{-\beta} (\partial\hat{\rho})^2) \quad (10)$$

Here we have taken $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta, \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \phi, \sigma, \beta_3$ and θ^γ to be zero and we have defined $\hat{\rho} = e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \rho$.

This action provides a simple starting point for an investigation of the dynamics associated with the bundle modulus ρ and will be at the heart of some future work¹⁰.

4 Future work

There are many ways in which this work can be used as a basis for future study. Here we will describe a few of the more exciting possibilities.

One could generalise the results presented here to the case where we consider more than one, possibly overlapping, gauge five brane. Such complicated situations are probably tractable due to the fact that we have a very powerful mechanism for obtaining the self dual gauge field configurations on which such objects are based in the form of the ADHM construction¹¹. Similar calculations to the one presented here could be performed for these more complicated situations. Indeed by using a Kummer style construction for the Calabi-Yau threefold, such as the one we have employed here, and by taking the case where the gauge field background is entirely in the form of (either overlapping or not

overlapping) gauge five branes one could write down a four dimensional theory which includes *all* of the moduli present in the compactification.

There are other possible constituents of the gauge bundle which would yield to our approach. For example there is another localised object which takes a Yang-Mills instanton as its core - the so called symmetric solution¹². We could equally well apply our method to this object and obtain the low energy effective theory which includes its moduli.

One could try to obtain a more complete description of the gauge five brane's four dimensional effective theory by combining the kinetic terms described here with the work which has been done on obtaining non-perturbative superpotentials for gauge bundle moduli^{4,5}. In particular it would be interesting to identify the moduli in these papers which correspond to those described here, for example the instanton size.

The action presented in this paper could be used to derive a number of different types of cosmological solutions. For example one could seek to describe the cosmological effects of a gauge five brane spreading out with time¹⁰ or spinning in $SU(2)$ space. Such solutions could be of critical importance in certain cosmological scenarios^{13,14}.

Our results could be used to improve the description of cosmological scenarios where the gauge five brane is created as the result of a small instanton transition^{13,14}. However we would like to stress that these objects can live on the orbifold fixed planes irrespective of whether or not the system has undergone such phase transitions. Therefore it is possible to base scenarios purely on the dynamics of gauge five branes. For example, if we were to include the position moduli of the five brane in our analysis we could imagine basing some kind of brane inflation scenario on gauge five branes and anti gauge five branes. This soliton-antisoliton inflation could potentially have some quite nice properties. For example when inflation ends with the collision of the instanton and anti instanton they would presumably annihilate in a manner which is describable within the regime of low energy field theory - both objects simply being made out of low energy fields^a. The energy from such an annihilation could reheat the universe - the fact that the colliding objects are annihilating on an orbifold fixed plane presumably means that it would be natural for a sizable proportion of the resulting energy to be dumped into matter fields.

In other words gauge five branes can be every bit as useful in developing various scenarios as their 'fundamental' counterparts - and in addition these

^aAlthough some caution is called for with this statement given the results presented in the literature for a situation which one would think would be subject to similar arguments¹⁵. The two situations *are* different however. In particular in our case the two colliding objects would have no net five brane charge.

solitonic objects have extra attractive features such as variable widths and the fact that they are entirely built out of low energy fields.

In short it is now possible to start an analysis of the effect of certain types of gauge bundle moduli on different cosmological scenarios for the first time.

Acknowledgements

JG is supported by a Sir James Knott fellowship and would like to thank the CPT at the University of Durham for their hospitality while this talk was being written up.

References

1. E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **471** (1996) 135 [hep-th/9602070].
2. A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, Nucl. Phys. B **532** (1998) 43 [hep-th/9710208].
3. A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut, K. S. Stelle and D. Waldram, Phys. Rev. D **59** (1999) 086001 [hep-th/9803235].
4. E. I. Buchbinder, R. Donagi and B. A. Ovrut, Nucl. Phys. B **653** (2003) 400 [arXiv:hep-th/0205190].
5. E. I. Buchbinder, R. Donagi and B. A. Ovrut, JHEP **0207** (2002) 066 [arXiv:hep-th/0206203].
6. J. Gray and A. Lukas, [arXiv:hep-th/0309096].
7. A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, Nucl. Phys. B **540** (1999) 230 [arXiv:hep-th/9801087].
8. A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. B **343** (1990) 167 [Erratum-ibid. B **353** (1991) 565].
9. A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, Phys. Rev. D **59** (1999) 106005 [arXiv:hep-th/9808101].
10. A. Lukas, J. Gray and G. Probert, work in progress.
11. See, N. Dorey, T. J. Hollowood, V. V. Khoze and M. P. Mattis, Phys. Rept. **371** (2002) 231 [arXiv:hep-th/0206063], and references therein.
12. See M. J. Duff, R. R. Khuri and J. X. Lu, Phys. Rept. **259** (1995) 213 [arXiv:hep-th/9412184], and references therein.
13. J. Khoury, B. A. Ovrut, P. J. Steinhardt and N. Turok, [hep-th/0103239].
14. M. Bastero-Gil, E. J. Copeland, J. Gray, A. Lukas and M. Plumacher, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 066005 [arXiv:hep-th/0201040].
15. E. Lima, H. Lu, B. A. Ovrut and C. N. Pope, Nucl. Phys. B **569** (2000) 247 [arXiv:hep-th/9903001].